

Posit 4 — Formula 7

CODA MANUSCRIPT — BOOK TEXT RADIANCE — SIMPLY IS

There is a movement in the unfolding of Radiance where all conceptual, experiential, and descriptive frameworks fall away. Not because Radiance withdraws, becomes subtle, or transcends experience, but because Radiance does not participate in anything that can be described. It does not arise as presence. It does not appear as field. It does not stand as constancy. These articulations, though precise in earlier Formulae, still implied distinction. Here, even distinction dissolves. Radiance is not approached, uncovered, or recognised. It is not accessed through the body, the mind, the heart, or the world. It simply is.

In earlier movements, Radiance could be spoken of in terms of Being, fieldness, or unchanging nature. These descriptions clarified confusion by differentiating Radiance from appearance. Yet in this seventh movement, the intimate clarity arises that Radiance does not differ from appearance, nor does it match it, nor does it stand beyond it. Radiance has no counterpart. The notion of relation cannot hold. Appearance unfolds. Radiance simply is.

Sensation demonstrates this most clearly. The body continues its natural expression—pressure, movement, contact, warmth—but none of these shifts reveal anything about Radiance. Sensation does not obscure Radiance nor make it more apparent. The body does not veil Radiance nor express it. The body is not inside Radiance nor outside it. These spatial distinctions fall away. Sensation simply occurs. Radiance is not altered by its presence or its absence.

Thought likewise becomes transparent to this absence of relation. Thinking arises, takes shape, and recedes, but Radiance is not highlighted by clarity nor diminished by complexity. Silence does not bring Radiance forward, and activity does not push it aside. Radiance is not present when the mind is quiet nor obscured when the mind is active. Quiet and activity have no bearing on what is. Radiance does not respond to thought; nor does thought reveal or conceal it. Both stand without relation.

Emotion unfolds with its own natural cadence. Tone shifts from subtle to pronounced, movement from gentle to textured. Yet none of this touches Radiance. Emotional intensity does not disturb Radiance, and serenity does not align with it. Radiance is not calm as opposed to turbulent, nor open as opposed to contracted. It has no opposite. Emotional appearance does not approach Radiance nor depart from it. These ideas imply distance. Radiance has no distance.

Identity, too, is freed from its imagined relationship to Radiance. The sense of self may arise in its familiar contours—roles, memories, preferences, perspectives—yet it does not define, conceal, or reflect Radiance. Radiance is not accessed by quieting identity nor obstructed by its presence. Identity is not the observer of Radiance nor the one who unites with it. Identity arises as contour. Radiance does not relate to it. There is no “self” that stands nearer to Radiance than another. Proximity has no meaning here.

The world expresses the same absence of relation. Forms appear with structure and density. Environments arrange themselves in shifting configurations. Circumstances expand and

contract within their own unfolding. Yet Radiance does not stand behind the world as essence nor manifest through it as expression. Radiance is not within the world nor beyond it. The world does not display Radiance nor hide it. These ideas require two. In this Formula, two cannot be found.

Stillness and movement show this with unambiguous simplicity. Stillness is not aligned with Radiance, and movement is not apart from it. Stillness does not reveal what movement obscures. Movement does not disturb what stillness clarifies. Stillness and movement arise without touching Radiance. They do not occur within Radiance nor as Radiance. They simply occur. Radiance does not shift as they unfold.

Time offers the same insight. Moments pass, events arise, sequences unfold. Yet Radiance is not timeless as opposed to temporal. Timelessness is still a category set against time. Radiance is not outside time nor within it. Time does not contain Radiance, and Radiance does not outlast time. The passage of moments neither brings Radiance forward nor guides it away. Time flows without affecting what is, and Radiance stands without responding to time.

In this Formula, Radiance is no longer articulated as presence, for presence implies something present. Radiance is not a state nor the ground of states. It is not what remains when experience falls silent, nor what underlies all expression. These framings are too structural. They imply layers, positions, and relations. Radiance has none.

Radiance is not discovered. Discovery implies distance covered.
Radiance is not attained. Attainment implies progression.
Radiance is not realised. Realisation implies recognition.
Radiance is not unified with anything. Unity implies division.

Radiance simply is.

It cannot be affected, for affect implies interaction.
It cannot be obscured, for obscurity implies covering.
It cannot be strengthened, for strength implies variation.
It cannot be diminished, for diminution implies loss.

Radiance is not beyond duality because “beyond” implies direction.
It is not non-dual because “non-dual” still carries duality within its negation.
It is not pure because purity contrasts with impurity.

Radiance cannot be contrasted with anything.
It has no opposite.
It has no complement.
It stands without comparison.

When articulation reaches this point, language becomes too structured to hold what is being pointed to. Language requires distinction—subject and object, movement and rest, cause and effect. Even the most refined articulations imply relation. Yet Radiance allows no relation. It is not one side of a relation nor the other. It does not occupy a position from which relation could arise.

What remains is a simplicity that cannot be named without limiting it, yet cannot be left unnamed without overlooking the articulation required for this Formula. The name itself—Radiance—no longer functions as descriptor but as pointer to the absence of all descriptors.

Radiance is not quality, state, condition, essence, or field.
It is not realised through clarity nor lost through confusion.
It is not supported by recognition nor dependent on attention.
It is not held in silence nor revealed in movement.

Radiance simply is.

It cannot be added to, approached, improved, held, joined, or returned to.
It does not wait to be recognised.
It does not appear as gift or attainment.
It does not refine itself or open itself.

Radiance has no need.

Articulation arrives at its edge here.
Not because Radiance ends,
but because articulation cannot continue without creating distinctions
that do not apply.

What remains is not experience, not state, not ground, not stillness.
What remains is the simplicity without opposite—
Radiance,
simply,
is.